

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,614	07/25/2003	Koichi Terui	500.42934X00	9386	
20457 73	590 12/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			LAI, ANNE	LAI, ANNE VIET NGA	
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889	2636			
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/200	DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,614	TERUI, KOICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne V. Lai	2636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap	oril 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '	TD 4 404(-1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTC	D-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 111804

On

Art Unit: 2636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In page 20, line 7, the applicant does not specify the data transmission and reception circuit "601" is element of which terminal in the system. Suggest change to -- 601 of the portable terminal 5 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Schuchman et al** [US. 6,169, 484] in view of **Halliday** [US. 6,720,881].

Regarding claim 6, **Schuchman et al** (figs. 1, claim 1) disclose an information provision system comprising a first portable terminal carried by a moving body (personal RF transponder unit), information provision equipment (RF transceiver interrogation unit; fig. 2, lines 43-45) and a server (service center) wherein the information provision equipment notifies the server when the first portable terminal is near the information provision equipment, the server notifies a person of interest (col. 4, line 62) that the first portable terminal is in a region of danger based on the current position of the information provision equipment.

Art Unit: 2636

Schuchman et al do not specifically disclose the person of interest is carrying a portable terminal to receive the notification however mobile communication (pager, cellular phone) is known in emergency notification transmission. Halliday (fig. 1) teaches an information provision system comprising a first portable terminal 20, a second portable terminal 40, information provision equipment 70 and a server 50, wherein upon sensing the first portable terminal 20 approaching the information provision equipment 70, signal is sent to the server 50 which in turn notifies the second portable terminal 40 (pager) that the first portable terminal is in a region of danger. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a portable terminal as taught by Halliday in Schuchman et al system to receive wireless notification signal from the server for facilitating the mobility of the user (caretaker) (Halliday, col. 5, lines 58-62).

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-5 are allowed over prior art of record because the cited references either alone or combined do not disclose the entire claimed invention:

A portable terminal comprising: a transmission part to transmit signal indicating presence at a prescribed location and presence of a communication counter party at a prescribed location, a reception part to receive signal indicating a moving body is approaching or is present at the prescribed location, an alarm, a light detector, and a

Art Unit: 2636

decision part; wherein the decision part decides whether or not to transmit a present in prescribed location signal based on the brightness detected by the light detector.

A portable terminal comprising a transmission part to transmit signal indicating presence at a prescribed location and presence of a communication counter party at a prescribed location, a reception part to receive signal indicating a moving body is approaching or is present at the prescribed location, and an alarm part; wherein the reception part receives a specified presence signal from a specified portable terminal informing that the specified terminal is present or in close proximity to the prescribed location, the transmission part does not transmit the present in prescribed location signal.

The underline limitations are critical since it shows the system of improvement.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Elliot** discloses an anytime/anywhere child locator system. [US. 6,243,039] **Layson et al** disclose an offender and victim collision avoidance and advanced warning system. [US. 5,982,281]

Carroll et al disclose an electronic system and method for monitoring compliance with a protective order. [US. 5,396,227]

**Ricketts** discloses a method and system for monitoring personnel. [US. 5,218,344]

Lawrence discloses a personal emergency locator system. [US. 5,021,794]

Art Unit: 2636

**Levinson et al** disclose a security and communication system. [US. 4,611,198] **Hoshen** discloses a locator device useful for house arrest and stalker detection. [US. 5,461,390]

**Neher** discloses a global positioning satellite tracking device. [US. 5,905,461] **Kalthoff et al** disclose a remote-to-remote position locating system [US. 2001/0048364]

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.V.Lai 11/23/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5